

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.6558 of 2020

=====

Ram Naresh Singh, son of late Hridaya Narayan Singh, resident of Village Pakri Narottam, P.O.- Satjora Bazar, P.S.- Panapur, District- Saran at Chapra, Pin Code-841410

... .. Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Chief Secretary, Government of Bihar, patna
2. The Principal Secretary, Department of Revenue and Land Reforms, Government of Bihar, patna
3. The Collector-cum-District Magistrate Saran at Chapra
4. The Land Acquisition Officer, Saran at Chapra
5. The Deputy Collector Land Reforms, Marhauarh, Saran, Chapra
6. The Circle Officer Panapur, Saran, Chapra
7. Sri Harbansh Narayan Singh son Late Ram Kishun Singh @ Kishun Singh resident of village- Pakari Narottam P.O.- Satjora Bazar, P.S.- Panapur District- Saran at Chapra, Pin Code no.-841410

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Jeetendra Narayan, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Rishi Raj Sinha, SC-19

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VIKASH JAIN
ORAL JUDGMENT

Date : 29-11-2021

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel for the respondents.

2. The present writ petition has been filed for “*issuance of appropriate writ (s), order (s), direction (s) to the concerned respondents to entertain the objections/applications dated 11.10.2018 and 03.01.2020 made by the petitioner which are for consider his claim relates to a piece of land pertaining to Khata No.24 Khesra No.562, Area of 0.02 decimal which is boundred as in North Road, in South-Self, in East- Bhupendra Singh, in West*



Hari Vansh Narayan Singh situated in Revenue village Pakri Narottam under the Circle of Panapur in Saran district, which has notified for acquisition relates to approach way of R.C.C., Gandak bridge by Department of Revenue Land Reforms, Government of Bihar, Patna on 30.12.2018 in Hindi Daily Prabhat Khabar. And further for directing the concerned respondent No.04 to not issue award or pay the compensation amount of the said land to respondent No.07 who has claimed over the land in question in collusion with the local revenue authority which is beyond the verdict of partition suit no.134 of 1965 and its Execution Case No. 11 of 1982, whereby, petitioner and his family has got of the aforementioned land and the same has also been executed in favour of them. And also for any other relief or reliefs for which the petitioner is found to be entitled in the facts and circumstances of this case”.

3. At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioner states that he has filed a representation for payment of compensation before the Land Acquisition Officer, Saran at Chapra (respondent no.4) on 03.01.2020, which appears to be pending.

4. Learned counsel for the State appears and has been heard.



5. Having regard to the nature of the grievances, the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the Land Acquisition Officer, Saran at Chapra (respondent no.4) to consider and dispose of the representation of the petitioner, said to have been filed on 03.01.2020, if still pending, on its own merits in accordance with law and after grant of an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner, expeditiously and in any event preferably within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this judgment. If any amount is found payable to the petitioner, that should be paid without any delay preferably within a further period of three months thereafter.

(Vikash Jain, J)

V.K.Pandey/-

AFR/NAFR	N.A.F.R.
CAV DATE	N.A.
Uploading Date	03.12.2021
Transmission Date	N.A.

