

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL APPEAL (SJ) No.1927 of 2021

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-353 Year-2014 Thana- AMARPUR District- Banka

=====

ROSHAN MURMU @ TALO MURMU @ RAUSH MURMU Son of Late
Lal Murmu Resident of Village - Dudhghatiya, P.S.- Fullidumar, Distt.-
Banka.

... .. Appellant/s

Versus

THE STATE OF BIHAR

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Appellant/s : Mr.Md. Nurul Hoda, Adv
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Sadanand Paswan, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE BIRENDRA KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 13-07-2021 Let the defect (s) be removed within four weeks after
start of the physical Court.

Heard the parties in virtual Court.

This is an appeal under Section 14(A)(2) of the
Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of
Atrocities) Act, 1989 against the refusal of prayer for bail by
order dated 22.01.2021 in G.R.No.2438 of 2014 passed by the
learned Additional Sessions Judge-I, Banka, in connection with
Amarpur P.S.Case No.353 of 2014, registered under Sections
302,201/34 of the Indian Penal Code, and Section 3(2)(v) of the
Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of
Atrocities) Act, 1989.

The FIR is against unknown. Name of the appellant



surfaced in the confessional statement of co-accused-Munna Murmu, who has already been allowed bail by a Coordinate Bench of this Court vide order at Annexure-2. Appellant has got no criminal antecedent. Investigation of the case is already complete.

Let the appellant, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.20,000/- (Twenty Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Court-below where the case is pending in connection with the aforesaid case, with condition that both bailors shall be resident of territorial jurisdiction of the learned court below and further the appellant shall fully cooperate with the investigation/trial of the case and also the appellant shall not leave the country without permission of the learned Trial Court, failing which the court below shall be at liberty to cancel the bail bond of the appellant.

Accordingly, the impugned order is set aside and this appeal stands allowed.

(Birendra Kumar, J)

Nitesh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

