

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No. 11663 of 2020

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-112 Year-2019 Thana- GURARU District- Gaya

-
1. Raman Pal @ Ramanand Bhagat aged about 47 years S/o Dharmendra Bhagat R/o Village- Barorah, P.S.- Guraru, District- Gaya.
 2. Priyanshu Kumar Pal @ Munna Pal aged about 21 years Son of Raman Pal @ Ramanand Pal R/o Village- Barorah, P.S.- Guraru, District- Gaya.
 3. Urmila Devi @ Pravila Devi aged about 43 years Wife of Ramanad Bhagat @ Raman Pal R/o Village- Barorah, P.S.- Guraru, District- Gaya.

... .. Petitioners

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party

Appearance :

For the Petitioners : Mr.Manish Kumar No2, Advocate
For the Opposite Party : Mr.Jagdhar Prasad, Addl Public Prosecutor

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

7 15-01-2021 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and the State.

Petitioners apprehend arrest in Guraru Police Station
Case No. 112 of 2019 registered for the offences punishable
under Sections 302, 328, 201, 120b of the IPC.

Prosecution's case in brief is that the daughter of the
informant Munni Devi was married to one Sunil Pal seven years
ago. Out of the wedlock, she had two sons and some dispute
was going on for partition of the landed property in Sassural



family. Suddenly, on 18.8.2019 one Priyanshu Kumar @ Munna Pal called the informant and told that his daughter is seriously ill on which he rushed to the hospital where he came to know that his daughter has been assaulted and administered poison by these petitioners, leading to the death of his daughter and Nati.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioner no.1 is Bhaishur of the deceased, petitioner no.2 is his son and petitioner no.3 is his wife. There is general and omnibus allegation against them. Accused persons had informed the informant, thereafter he had also taken part in last rites and only with a view to harass the petitioners the instant false case has been lodged. There is no eye witness to the occurrence has falsely been implicated in this case. Petitioners have got no criminal antecedent.

Learned counsel for the State opposes the prayer for bail submitting that there is specific allegation against the petitioners that they killed the informant's daughter and Nati by poisoning them and burnt the dead body to conceal the evidence. Witnesses have also supported the prosecution case.

Considering the nature and gravity of the offence and circumstances of this case, the prayer for bail is refused with direction to surrender and seek regular bail which would be



considered and disposed of on its own merit without prejudice.

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J.)

Shashi

U		T	
---	--	---	--

