

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.23390 of 2021

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-89 Year-2020 Thana- BHARGAMA District- Araria

=====

BECHAN RISHIDEO @ BECHAN RISIDEO SON OF JAIN RISHIDEO
R/O VILLAGE- ADI RAMPUR WARD NO.4, P.S.- BHARGAMA,
DISTRICT- ARARIA.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

THE STATE OF BIHAR

... .. Opposite Party

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Anil Prasad Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.APP.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

3 16-11-2021 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

Let the defects, as pointed out by the office, be
removed within four weeks of start of normal functioning of the
physical court.

Petitioner seeks bail in connection with Bhargama P.S.
Case No. 89 of 2020 registered for the offences punishable
under Sections 302/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

According to prosecution case, the marriage of
informant's son was solemnized with daughter of the petitioner
in the year 2019. The informant's son gave information to his
mother that he was going to his sasural. On 05.06.2020 at about
12:00 PM his son Ranjit Rishideo informed on phone that phone



number of Kundan Kumar Rishideo was not connecting. After that the informant along with his nephew reached the sasural of his son and found dead body of his son lying on the bed of house of the petitioner. The petitioner and other accused fled away from there. The wife of the deceased did not say anything about the alleged occurrence. The informant further alleges that petitioner along with his other family members committed the murder of the deceased.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence and he has falsely been implicated in the present case. Learned counsel further submits that petitioner is father-in-law of the deceased. Learned counsel further submits that on petty dispute between deceased and his wife, the deceased hanged himself. There is no motive behind killing of the deceased. Petitioner is in custody since 23.06.2020 having no criminal antecedent. Charge sheet has already been submitted against the petitioner.

The learned Additional Public Prosecutor opposed the prayer of bail.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances as well as submissions of the parties, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.



10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Araria in connection with Bhargama P.S. Case No. 89 of 2020.

1. Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and shall remain physically present as directed by the court and on his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

2. If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

shahzad/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

