

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
(FROM RESIDENTIAL OFFICE VIA VIDEO APPLICATION)
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.21006 of 2021

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-133 Year-2020 Thana- DAUDNAGAR District- Aurangabad

AJIT YADAV @ AJIT SINGH Son of Naresh Yadav Resident of Village -
Umarchok, P.S. - Daudnagar, District - Aurangabad.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Bachan Jee Ojha, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Damodar Prasad Tiwary, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJEEV RANJAN PRASAD
ORAL ORDER

2 04-08-2021 Learned counsel for the petitioner undertakes to remove
all the defects pointed out by the Stamp Reporter within four weeks
after start of normal functioning of the Court.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and Mr. Damodar
Prasad Tiwary, learned APP for the State.

The petitioner in the present case is seeking regular bail in
connection with Daudnagar P.S. Case No. 133 of 2020 for the
offences registered under Section 216(A) of the Indian Penal Code
and 25(1-B)a, 26, 35 of the Arms Act. He is in custody in connection
with this case since 17.5.2020.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that as per the
prosecution story when the police party raided the house of Ramnath
Singh who is brother-in-law of this petitioner, the petitioner was also
found present there and from his possession one pistol and three live
cartridges were recovered. From the house of Ramnath Singh one
rifle and one pistol along with 39 cartridges and four empty

cartridges were recovered.

Learned counsel submits that this petitioner has got criminal antecedent of twenty cases, however, he is on bail in eighteen cases.

Learned APP for the State has opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner. It is submitted that presence of the petitioner in the house of Ramnath Singh who is his brother-in-law and recovery of several arms and huge quantity of ammunitions together with the criminal antecedent of twenty cases against the petitioner and further recovery of one pistol and three live cartridges from his possession are some of the factors which should be taken into consideration and the prayer for bail of the petitioner be rejected.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, the recovery of arm and ammunitions from the possession of the petitioner and from the house where he was also present and further twenty huge criminal antecedents against the petitioner are the reasons which do not permit this Court to grant privilege of bail to this petitioner.

Prayer for bail is thus refused.

Let the trial be expedited.

(Rajeev Ranjan Prasad, J)

SONALI/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

Note: The ordersheet duly signed has been attached with the record. However, in view of the present arrangements, during Pandemic period all concerned shall act on the basis of the copy of the order uploaded on the High Court website under the heading 'Judicial Orders Passed During The Pandemic Period'.