

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.21028 of 2021

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-334 Year-2020 Thana- DHANARUA District- Patna

RAM PRAVESH PRASAD S/O Late Padarath Prasad Resident of Village -
Aatapur, P.S. Dhanarua, Dist. - Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

THE STATE OF BIHAR Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Saghir Ahmad, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Asha Devi, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL KUMAR PANWAR
ORAL ORDER

2 21-12-2021 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

Learned counsel for the petitioner is expected to
honour his undertaking to remove the defects, as pointed out by
the office, when called upon to do so by the office.

The petitioner is/are apprehending his/their arrest in
connection with Dhanura P.S. Case No. 334 of 2020 for the
offence registered under Sections 376/511 of the Indian Penal
Code and Section 7/8 of the POCSO Act.

Allegation, as alleged against the petitioner is that he
tried to commit rape and outraged the modesty of the daughter
of the informant, namely, Amrita Kumari.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner has falsely been implicated in the present case. It is



further submitted that the petitioner is aged about 76 years and he has no criminal antecedent.

Learned counsel for the State opposes the prayer for bail and submits that there is sufficient material against the petitioner. Hence, it is not a fit case for anticipatory bail.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, I am not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. It is, accordingly, rejected.

However, the petitioner is directed to surrender before the court below and file an application for regular bail on which the court below may pass necessary orders in accordance with law without being prejudiced by the present order.

(Sunil Kumar Panwar, J)

Jagdish/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

