

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.11629 of 2021**

=====

Sadhu Singh, aged about 53 years, Male, Son of Late Chuman Singh Resident of Village-Bhaisahi, PS-Manjhagarh, District-Gopalganj.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Department of Labour, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary, Department of Labour, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Labour Commissioner, Bihar, Patna.
4. The Deputy Labour Commissioner, Saran Division, Chapra
5. The Labour Superintendent, Gopalganj.
6. The Vishni Sugar Mills Limited having, At-Harakhua, PO-Vishnu Sugar Mill, PS-Gopalganj, District- Gopalganj, through its General Manager.
7. The General Manager, The Vishni Sugar Mills Limited, At-Harakhua, PO-Vishnu Sugar Mill, PS-Gopalganj, District- Gopalganj.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Sanjay Kumar Pandey No.5, Advocate  
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Anil Kumar Singh, GP 26

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJAN GUPTA**  
**ORAL JUDGMENT**

**Date : 20-12-2021**

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

The operative part of the order reads as under:

*“19. ....Apex court in case of **Ratan Singh vs Union of India 1997(11) SCC 396** held that in view of the long time gap from the date of termination to date of reinstatement the apex court granted the relief for compensation in place of back wages with reinstatement. This case was relating to daily wages worker.*

*20. From above discussion, pleading of both parties, oral and documentary evidence of the parties and submission of the both parties and nature of case keeping in view of entire material. The court instead of reinstatement with back wages*



*consolidated sum of Rs. 1,00,000/- (One Lakh) is given as a compensation to meet the end of justice.  
21. I pass my award accordingly.”*

Today, workman is present in person pursuant to order passed on the last date of hearing. He admits that an amount of Rs. 1,00,000/- has already been paid to him. I find no infirmity with the order passed by the Labour Court. Learned counsel for the petitioner has confined his argument only for enhancement of compensation amount.

On due consideration, I find no ground for the same to take up this plea.

The petition is thus without any merit and is hereby dismissed.

**(Rajan Gupta, J)**

P. Kumar

|                   |  |
|-------------------|--|
| AFR/NAFR          |  |
| CAV DATE          |  |
| Uploading Date    |  |
| Transmission Date |  |

