

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.20011 of 2021

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-205 Year-2020 Thana- KARPI District- Jehanabad

Sakal Deo @ Sakaldeo Kumar Son Of Ashok Mahto R/Village - Baidrabad
Ward No. 25, P.S. And Dist. - Arwal.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Nitya Nand Neeraj
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Dr. Ajeet Kumar

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANJANI KUMAR SHARAN
ORAL ORDER

2 02-09-2021 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned A.P.P. for
the State through virtual court proceeding.

Learned counsel for the petitioner undertakes to remove the
defects within four weeks of resumption of normal court proceeding.
In the eventuality of non-removal of defects within undertaken
period, the office will place the matter before the Bench.

The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Karpi P.S. Case
No., 205 of 2020 registered for the offence punishable under Section
394 of the Indian Penal Code.

As per the prosecution case, allegation against the petitioner is
that petitioner in association with co-accused persons looted the
motorcycle of the informant and mobile phone of his friend *Amit*
Kumar while they were heading towards *Hamd Nagar* village.

It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that
petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case and has not



committed any offence as alleged in the FIR. No such occurrence as alleged ever took place. He submits that petitioner has not been put on T.I. parade and only on the basis of confessional statement of co-accused person, which has no evidentiary value in the eyes of law, he has been falsely implicated in the present case. The allegation levelled against the petitioner is not specific rather general and omnibus in nature. The petitioner has six criminal antecedents as has been mentioned in para 3 of this bail petition and he is languishing in custody since 24.11.2020.

Learned APP for the State vehemently opposing the bail petition submitted that there is allegation regarding recovery of motorcycle of the informant from petitioner, as has been mentioned in para 34 of the case diary and it has come in the case diary that this petitioner have some criminal antecedents.

In the facts and circumstances of the case, I am not inclined to grant privilege of bail to the petitioner in connection with Karpi P.S. Case No. 205 of 2020 to the satisfaction of learned A.C.J.M. 4th, Arwal.

Accordingly, prayer for bail of the petitioner is hereby rejected.

(Anjani Kumar Sharan, J)

GAURAV S./-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

