

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.19646 of 2021

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-44 Year-2020 Thana- BENIPATTI District- Madhubani

SHUBHANKAR JHA @ AMIT KUMAR JHA Son of Jagannath Jha Resident
of Village - Gonaun, P.S.- Ghanshyampur, District - Darbhanga.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Prem Ranjan Kumar
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Akbar Ali

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

3 15-12-2021 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

Petitioner seeks bail in connection with Benipatti P.S.
Case no. 44 of 2020 registered for the offence punishable under
section 394 of the Indian Penal Code.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner is in custody since 21.10.2020 and charge sheet has
been submitted and has three antecedent as mentioned in para 3
of the bail petition. Learned counsel for the petitioner further
submits that the informant alleges that on 27.2.2020 while he
along with his associate was counting the money of the
company, when two unknown criminals armed with pistol came
in the room and threatened the staff of the company and when
Abhinash Kumar Singh protested he was shot leading to injury



and the accused looted Rs 7,39,580/- of the Finance company. Learned counsel submits that FIR is against unknown and name of the petitioner transpired during course of investigation and in para 41 of the case diary, it has come that this petitioner had not participated in the occurrence rather he had offered arm to Raj Kumar Jha.

Learned APP after perusing case diary submits that as far as this petitioner is concerned, it has come in para 41 of the case diary that he had offered his arm to Raj Kumar Jha but submits that this petitioner was aware that accused was going to commit the occurrence as such does not deserve bail.

Considering the facts that petitioner is in jail custody and co-accused has been granted bail by the learned court below as is evident from the impugned order itself and charge sheet has been submitted, the petitioner is directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs 25,000/- (twenty five thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the Addl. Chief Judicial Magistrate I, Benipatti District Madhubani in Benipatti P.S. Case no. 44 of 2020 with condition that petitioner will mark his attendance in between 20-25 of every month commencing from January, 2022 till the charges are not framed, in the event it is reported by local police



that petitioner has not marked his attendance in between 20-25
of any of the month till framing of the charge, the learned court
below will be at liberty to cancel his bail bond.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

s.hassan/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

