

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.18213 of 2021

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-207 Year-2009 Thana- BIKRAM District- Patna

MANISH KUMAR MANI SON OF SRI SATYANARAYAN SHARMA @
SATYANARAYAN SINGH Resident of Village - Shahjahanpur, P.s.- Bikram,
Distt.- Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Anuj Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sanjay Kumar Singh, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 26-03-2021 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel for the State.

Petitioner, who is in custody, seeks bail in connection
with Bikram P.S. Case No. 207 of 2009 registered for the
offence punishable under Sections 302, 307/34 of the Indian
Penal Code, 1860 and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

Allegation against petitioner is of firing upon the
informant causing firearm injury on his body.

It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that
he is innocent and has been falsely implicated in this case due to
land dispute. Injury found is stated to be simple in nature. It has
been further submitted that present case is a counter-blast to the
Bikram P.S. Case No. 208 of 2009 and after investigation police



did not find case to be true against petitioner and submitted final form on 22.07.2010. Petitioner is in custody since 18.01.2020.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, let the petitioner named above be released on bail upon furnishing bail bond of Rs. 20,000/- (twenty thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned A.C.J.M., Danapur, in connection with Bikram P.S. Case No. 207 of 2009 subject to the conditions that:-

(1) Bailors should be local having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the court concerned.

(2) Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and his absence on two consecutive dates without proper and sufficient reason the trial court will be at liberty to cancel his bail bond.

(3) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses of the case, in that case, prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail of the petitioner.

(S. Kumar, J)

veena/rajiv-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

