

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.19988 of 2021**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-92 Year-2020 Thana- MAHILA P.S. District- Madhubani

Md. Imtiyaz @ Md. Imtiyaz Ali @ Mahtab Son of Md. Murtaza Ali Resident
of Village - Sakri West, P.S. Sakri, District - Madhubani.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State Of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Binay Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Umesh Lal Verma

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL KUMAR PANWAR
ORAL ORDER**

2 20-12-2021 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and

learned APP for the State.

Learned counsel for the petitioner is expected
to honour his undertaking given in the instant case for
depositing the requisite court fee and to remove the
defects as pointed out by the office when called upon to
do so by the office.

The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in a
case registered for the offences punishable under
Sections 376, 420, 323, 341, 34 of the Indian Penal
Code.

It is alleged in the F.I.R that on promise to



marry, the petitioner established physical relationship with the informant and continued for 3-4 years and thereafter refused to marry with the informant.

The victim in her 164 Cr.P.C statement though recorded her age as 23 years but she has specifically alleged that this petitioner established physical relationship with her and continued for three years on promise of getting married with the informant and lastly denied to marry with the informant.

Considering the nature of accusation and the statement of the victim recorded under Section 164 Cr.P.C completely corroborating the prosecution version, this Court is not inclined to grant privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

The prayer for anticipatory bail is rejected.

(Sunil Kumar Panwar, J)

Shageer/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

