

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.5850 of 2021

=====

Ram Nath Singh Son of Late Vanshropan Singh Resident of village - Akauna,
Post office and Police station- Punpun, District- Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar, Through the Chief Secretary Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary, Panchayati Raj Department Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Deputy Secretary, Panchayati Raj Department Government of Bihar Patna.
4. The Director, Provident fund directorate, Finance department Government of Bihar Patna.
5. The District provident fund officer patna, District - Patna.
6. The District Magistrate Patna, District- Patna.
7. The District panchayati Raj officer Patna District- Patna.
8. The Block Development officer Fhulwari Sharif, District Patna.
9. The Block Development officer Masaurhi District Patna.
10. The Block Development officer Barh, District - Patna.
11. The Accountant General, Bihar Patna.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Dharendra Kumar, Adv.
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Kameshwar Prasad Gupta (GP10)
For Accountant General	:	Mr. Kumar Priya Ranjan, SC

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

3 15-11-2021 Heard the parties.

2. The claim of petitioner is that the petitioner was appointed as Panchayat Sewak on 24.01.1986 in the office of Block Development Officer, Dhanarua, District-Patna and after serving at various places, he was compulsorily retired by way of punishment *vide* order dated 16.07.2015. The said order was



affirmed by the Divisional Commissioner, Patna Division.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has been paid GPF amount during pendency of writ application, however, pension and other retiral dues *viz*, gratuity, leave encashment, group insurance and salary for a certain period has not been paid to the petitioner as yet.

4. A counter affidavit has been filed on behalf of Respondents No. 6 to 8 i.e., the District Magistrate, Patna and other respondents stating therein that Respondents/authorities are taking needful action for the payment of due amount of the petitioner for which he is legally entitled.

5. Learned counsel for the State submits that two months' time may be granted to the State to take necessary steps for payment of admissible dues to the petitioner.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and in view of the stand taken by the State that the payment of the admissible retiral dues of the petitioner shall be paid within a period of two months, Respondents No.6 and 8 i.e., District Magistrate, Patna as well as Block Development Officer, Phulwarisharif are, hereby, directed to take necessary steps to pay admissible dues towards retiral benefits of the petitioner within a period of three months from the date of



receipt/production of a copy of this order.

7. Accordingly, with the aforesaid observation and direction, the present writ application stands disposed of.

8. So far as claim of the interest upon delayed payment of GPF amount after sanction by the competent authority is concerned, the petitioner is given liberty to file a representation before the Provident Fund Officer, Patna within a period of two weeks and if such representation is filed, the same shall be disposed of in accordance with law.

(Anil Kumar Sinha, J)

perwez

U			
---	--	--	--

