

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.18680 of 2016

=====

Jibach Bahardar Son of Late Jhabaru Bahadur Resident of Village-Rampur
Mohanpur Block and District-Araria

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. The District Magistrate, Araria, District-Araria
3. The Deputy Collector, Land Reforms Araria, District-Araria
4. The Sub-Divisional Officer, Araria, District-Araria
5. The Circle Officer, Araria, District-Araria

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Ram Narayan Mahto, Adv.
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Md.Khurshid Alam-AAG12

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VIKASH JAIN
ORAL JUDGMENT

Date : 01-12-2021

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel for the respondents.

2. The present writ petition has been filed for the
following reliefs as formulated by the petitioner-

*“1. That, this is an application for issuance of
an appropriate writ(s), order(s), direction(s) to the
respondents to demarcate the land being khata no. 1318,
plot no. 750, 755, 752, 756, 767, 833, 587, 588 total area 1
Acre 10 decimal which has been got by petitioner through
parcha on 14.06.1988 under Mauza Rampur Block Araria,
District- Araria which has been published through Gazette
no. 2500 dated 07.09.1986 Revenue Department, District
Purnea and same has been issued by Sub-Divisional
officer, Araria on 14.06.1988 to the petitioner and after
receiving the purcha petitioner has come in possession and*



mutation has made and rent receipt has been got till 2013 and after demarcating further give the direction to give possession to the petitioner and who has filed several representation before authorities for demarcating, matter is pending till today.”

3. At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioner accepts that remedy against the impugned order by way of appeal is available to the petitioner.

4. In view of the above circumstances, this Court is not inclined to enter into the merits of the matter. Accordingly, the writ petition stands dismissed with a liberty to the petitioner to approach before any forum as is available to him in accordance with law.

5. It is made clear that if the petitioner approaches the appropriate forum, the concerned authority/forum would have regard to the present proceeding being pursued by the petitioner, while considering any issue relating to condonation of delay, if applicable.

(Vikash Jain, J)

rishi/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	02.12.2021
Transmission Date	

