

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.15860 of 2017

=====

Madan Prasad Singh Son of late Chitradeo Prasad Singh, Resident of Village-
Jarang Rampur, Police Station- Vaishali Belsar O.P., District- Vaishali.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. The Superintending Engineer, Rural Works Department, Patna.
3. The Executive Engineer, Rural works Department, Regional Laboratory,
Muzaffarpur.
4. The Executive Engineer, Rural Works Department, Soil Investigation
Division, Muzaffarpur.
5. The Treasury Officer, Muzaffarpur.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Rajeev Ranjan No.II, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Pushkar Nrayan Sahi -AAG-6

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR UPADHYAY
ORAL ORDER

5 21-01-2021 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the

State.

It appears that during the pendency of the writ petition the issue raised in the present writ petition was examined by the respondent authorities and they have come to the conclusion that petitioner is not entitled to grant of 3rd ACP. They have passed reasoned and speaking order on 20.3.2018, a copy of the same is enclosed as Annexure-C to the counter affidavit.

In view of the above, the present writ petition does not merit consideration. It is, accordingly, dismissed.

In the event the petitioner wishes to challenge the



decision of the respondents, liberty shall be available to him to approach appropriate forum for redressal of such grievance against the decision of the respondents rejecting the claim of the petitioner for grant of 3rd ACP.

(Anil Kumar Upadhyay, J)

spandey/-

U			
---	--	--	--

