

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.18566 of 2021**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-268 Year-2019 Thana- MOKAMAH District- Patna

VIJAY KUMAR, Son of Surendra Prasad @ Surendra Prasad Verma Resident  
of Village- Sankarbar Tola, P.S.- Mokama, District- Patna.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party

**Appearance :**

|                      |   |                                                                            |
|----------------------|---|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| For the Petitioner/s | : | Mr. Krishna Prasad Singh, Sr. Advocate<br>Mr. Manoj Kumar Pandey, Advocate |
| For the informant    | : | Mr. Avinash Kumar, Advocate                                                |
| For the State        | : | Mr. Md. Iftekhar Mahmood, A.P.P.                                           |

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PURNENDU SINGH**  
**ORAL ORDER**

5      16-11-2021                      Heard Sri Krishna Prasad Singh, learned senior  
counsel, assisted by Sri Manoj Kumar Pandey, learned counsel  
appearing on behalf of petitioner, Sri Avinash Kumar learned  
counsel appearing on behalf of informant and Mr. Md. Iftekhar  
Mahmmud, learned A.P.P. appearing for the State.

The petitioner seeks bail in connection with  
Mokama P.S. Case No. 268 of 2019, registered under Section  
302/34 of Indian Penal Code, pending in the Court of learned  
A.C.J.M-II, Barh, Patna.

From perusal of the record, it appears that vide  
order dated 01.10.2021, this Court has called for a report as to  
the present stage of the trial and the time likely to be taken in  
conclusion thereof. A report, as contained in letter No. 81,  
dated 08.10.2021, has been received and is placed on the record



from which it appears that Mokama P.S. Case No. 268 of 2019 has been committed to the Court of Session.

Having considered the facts and circumstances of the case and the allegation made in the F.I.R., I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail at this stage. Accordingly, the prayer for bail of the petitioner, above named, is rejected.

It is expected that the learned Court below will conclude the trial expeditiously, preferably within a period of nine months. If the petitioner is so advised, he may renew his prayer for bail.

**(Purnendu Singh, J)**

manish/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

