

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.18261 of 2021

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-246 Year-2020 Thana- SALIMPUR District- Patna

-
1. MANOJ KUMAR SINGH S/O LATE DEV NANDAN SINGH R/O VILLAGE-KASWA HEDAITPUR, P.S. SALIMPUR, DISTRICT- PATNA
 2. VINAY SINGH @ VINAY KUMAR S/O LATE DEV NANDAN SINGH R/O VILLAGE-KASWA HEDAITPUR, P.S. SALIMPUR, DISTRICT- PATNA
 3. SANJAY SINGH S/O LATE DEV NANDAN SINGH R/O VILLAGE-KASWA HEDAITPUR, P.S. SALIMPUR, DISTRICT- PATNA
 4. ANJAY KUMAR S/O LATE DEV NANDAN SINGH R/O VILLAGE-KASWA HEDAITPUR, P.S. SALIMPUR, DISTRICT- PATNA

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

THE STATE OF BIHAR

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Chandra Bhushan Singh, Adv
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Ms. Gulnar Begum, APP
	:	Mr. Satya Ranjan Sinha, Adv
	:	Mr. Binay Kumar Singh, Adv

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MADHURESH PRASAD
ORAL ORDER

2 26-10-2021 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned
APP for the State.

This Court would expect that the petitioners' counsel would honour his undertaking in the instant proceedings regarding supply of requisite court fee etc. within two weeks from the date he is called upon to do so by the office.

Petitioners seek bail in connection with Salimpur P.S. Case No. 246 of 2020 registered under Sections 341, 323, 324, 506, 504, 325, 307, 379 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code.



All four petitioners are brothers. The allegation is that they had assaulted the informant's family members over a dispute regarding putting a *Naad* in the common street.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that over a trivial dispute between the parties, who are agnates, the occurrence has been taken place. The petitioners have no criminal antecedents. From perusal of the order rejecting the petitioners' bail application by the Court below, it is apparent that the injuries which have been sustained by the prosecution parties are simple in nature. The petitioners have no criminal antecedents and they are in custody since 5.9.2020.

Learned APP has opposed the prayer for bail.

Considering the rival submissions as also the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court for the purposes of grant of bail is inclined to accept the submissions advanced by the petitioners' counsel. Prayer for bail of the petitioners are allowed. Let the petitioners above named be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Judicial Magistrate-1st Class, Barh in Salimpur P.S. Case No. 246 of 2020, subject to the following conditions:-

(i) That one of the bailors will be a close relative of



the petitioners who will give an affidavit giving genealogy as to how he is related with the petitioners. The bailor will also undertake to inform the Court if there is any change in the address of the petitioners.

(ii) That the petitioners will be well represented on each date and if they fail to do so on two consecutive dates, their bail bond will be liable to be cancelled.

(Madhuresh Prasad, J)

SUMIT/-

U			
---	--	--	--

