

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.2698 of 2016

=====

Pramila Devi, Wife of Ram Chandra Singh, Resident of Village- Bhusahula,
PS Ayar Kothal Dehri, District Rohtas.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. Baliram Singh, Sons of late Jagdish Singh
2. Shyam Singh, Sons of late Jagdish Singh
3. Srimati Devi,
4. Rupmati Devi
5. Kusmuni Devi
6. Kusum Kumari
All Nos. 3 to 6 are Daughters of late Jagdish Singh
7. Most. Shanti Kuer, Wife of Jagdish Singh
8. Geeta Kumari
9. Savita Kumari
10. Mamta Kumari
11. Deepa Kumari
Sl. No. 8-11 Daughters of late Jairam Singh
12. Birendra Kumar
13. Dhirendra Kumar@Bitu Kumar
Both Sons of late Jairam Singh
14. Suresh Singh Son of late Parikha Singh
All are residents of Mohalla- Rajputana Dehri, P.O.- Dehri, PS Dehri,
District Rohtas.
15. Chandrawati Devi Wife of Deobansh Singh Resident of Villge- Katar, P.S.
Indrapuri Dehri- on-Sone, District Rohtas.
16. Ram Rawati Devi wife of Ram Jag Singh Resident of Village- Katar, P.S.
Katar, P.S. Indrapuri Dehri-0n-Sone District Rohtas.
17. Ram Jhari Devi Wife of Jagarnath Singh Resident of Village- Nirmalpur,
P.S. Akorhigola, District Rohtas.
18. Basmati Devi wife of Kamla Singh Resident of Village- Katar, P.S. Katar,
P.S. Indrapuri Dehri-0n-Sone District Rohtas.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Surendra Kumar Mishra, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Akhouri Vipin Bihari Shrivastava, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE



ORAL ORDER

3 09-12-2021 The instant application stands filed for restoration of Civil Revision No. 8 of 2012, titled as **Pramila Devi Vs. Baliram Singh & Ors.**, which stood dismissed for non-compliance of peremptory order dated 15.04.2015 passed by a co-ordinate Bench of this Court.

Judgment and decree passed in the year 1983 was sought to be challenged by the petitioner before the trial court, which endeavour did not find favour with the court below with the passing of the order dated 20.08.2011. The revision petition was dismissed vide peremptory order dated 15.04.2015. Record reveals that even earlier order dated 08.12.2014 was not complied with by the petitioner.

The instant application for restoration of the revision petition was filed on 26.07.2016 and no ground sufficient enough justifying the recall of the order dated 15.04.2015 is made out. Also, the delay remains unexplained. Litigation must come to an end at some stage.

As such, present application is dismissed.

(Sanjay Karol, CJ)

Sujit/Ashwini

U			
---	--	--	--

