

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.6333 of 2021

=====

Pankaj Kumar Pankaj S/o Yoginder Prasad Singh Resident of village -
Panchpaika, 21 Mahathi, P.O. - Ujiyarpur, P.S. - Ujiyarpur, District-
Samastipur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Chief Secretary, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Secretary, Food and Consumer Protection Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The District Magistrate, Samastipur.
4. The District Corporative Officer, Samastipur.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Arvind Kumar Sharma, Advocate Ms. Ritika Rani, Advocate Ms. Ritu Raj, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr.S.Raza Ahmad (A.A.G. 5)

=====

(The proceedings of the Court are being conducted through Video Conferencing and the Advocates joined the proceedings through Video Conferencing from their residence.)

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. KUMAR
ORAL JUDGMENT
(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. KUMAR)

Date : 06-07-2021

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

Petitioner has prayed for following relief(s):-

“A. For quashing office order vide memo no. 1658 dated 19.04.2020 issued under the Secretary, Food and Consumer Protection Department, Government of Bihar as contained in Annexure 3 by which direction has been given to the Primary Agriculture Cooperative Society hereinafter referred as “PACS” which have licence for running fair



price shop for procurement of food grains i.e., wheat/paddy and the beneficiary are required to be engaged with the nearest fair price shop for distribution of food items through point of sale (POS) as per decision of the higher authority considering pandemic COVID-19 and further such PACS/Vypaar Mandal can resume running their fair price shop after consent of the District Magistrate.

B. Also for quashing office order vide memo no. 386 dated 23.04.2020 as contained in Annexure 4 issued under the signature of District Magistrate, Samastipur to comply direction containing in memo no. 1658 dated 19.04.2020 as contained in Annexure 3.

C. Also for commanding the other respondents to not give effect to order vide memo no. 1658 dated 19.04.2020 and vide memo no. 368 dated 23.04.2020 in any manner and allow procurement of paddy/wheat as usual process prior to issuance of order as contained in Annexure 3.

D. Also for commanding the State Respondent to not procure Paddy/Wheat by Public Distribution System shops guaranteed under Bihar Targetted Public Distribution System (Control) Order 16 hereinafter referred as Order 16 as contained in Annexure 2.

E. Also for necessary relief/reliefs order/orders, direction/directions for which petitioner entitled in the eye of law.”

It is submitted on behalf of counsel for the petitioner that during pendency of this writ petition, all grievances has been redressed by the respondents, as such, he may be permitted to withdraw this writ petition.



The writ petition is accordingly dismissed as
withdrawn.

(Sanjay Karol, CJ)

(S. Kumar, J)

Rajiv/veena-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	
Transmission Date	NA

