

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.17385 of 2021

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-67 Year-2019 Thana- SUGAULI RAIL P.S. District- West
Champaran

=====

DINESH SAH @ RAJESH SAH Son of Amarica Sah Resident of Village
Jagdishpur Birti Tola, P.S.- Jagdishpur, District- West Champaran.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Umesh Chandra Verma

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Anand Mohan Pd. Mehta, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 08-07-2021 This matter is taken up for consideration through

Video Conferencing under the orders of Hon'ble the Chief

Justice.

Heard both parties.

The petitioner seeks bail in Sugauli Rail P.S. Case No.

67 of 2019, registered for the offence punishable under Section

379 of the Indian Penal Code.

As per the prosecution case, motorcycle of the

informant was stolen away by some unknown miscreants.

It is submitted on behalf of the petitioner that

petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case because of his

criminal antecedent. Petitioner is not named in the FIR. No

incriminating article has been recovered from possession of



this petitioner. No test identification parade has been held till date. Petitioner has been remanded in this case on 01.02.2020.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, the petitioner above-named, is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Railway Magistrate, Muzaffarpur/concerned court in connection with Sugauli Rail P.S. Case No. 67 of 2019, subject to following conditions:-

(i) The petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and shall remain physically present as directed by the Court and in the event of failure on two consecutive dates without sufficient reasons, his bail bond shall be liable to be cancelled by the court below.

(ii) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses of the case, in that case, prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail of the petitioner.

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

vinita/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

