

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.18116 of 2021**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-215 Year-2020 Thana- AMDABAD District- Katihar

=====

PRADEEP MANDAL@ PRADEEP KUMAR MANDAL, SON OF LATE
HARI MANDAL RESIDENT OF VILLAGE- CHHARRAMARI, POLICE
STATION AMDABAD, DISTRICT- KATIHAR

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

THE STATE OF BIHAR

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Rama Kant Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Ms. Veena Kumari Jaiswal, APP

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PARTHA SARTHY
ORAL ORDER**

3 17-11-2021

Heard. learned counsel for the parties.

The petitioner has preferred this application for grant of regular bail in a case registered under section 307 and other sections of the Indian Penal Code.

As per the prosecution case, the accused persons including the petitioner are stated to have come variously armed and the petitioner is stated to have given a *farsa* blow on the head of Kishun Mandal, the father-in-law of the informant.

It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that the allegations as levelled in the FIR are false and concocted. He has been falsely implicated in the case. The injury report does not support the allegations as no sharp cut injury has been found on the body of Kishun Mandal. The



weapon used is stated to be hard and blunt object. The petitioner is in custody since 12.11.2020 and has no criminal antecedent.

Heard learned APP for the State.

Having heard learned counsel for the parties and taking into consideration the direct allegation of assault against the petitioner which is supported by the injury report, the Court is not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail and the application is rejected.

Learned trial court is directed to expedite the trial.

(Partha Sarthy, J)

Spd/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

