

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.17615 of 2021

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-135 Year-2020 Thana- GOVINDPUR District- Nawada

VISHAL RAVIDAS S/o Ram Balak Ravidas R/o village - Koriauna, P.S. -
Govindpur, District - Nawada.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

THE STATE OF BIHAR

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Dileep Kumar Jha, Adv. Mr. Pramod Kumar Verma, Adv.
For the Informant	:	Mr. Arjun Prasad, Adv.
For the State	:	Dr. Ajeet Kumar, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PARTHA SARTHY
ORAL ORDER

3 29-09-2021 Heard learned counsel for the parties.

The petitioner has preferred this application for grant of regular bail in a case registered under section 302 and other sections of the Indian Penal Code.

As per the prosecution case, it is stated by the informant that he received information about the death of his daughter as a result of electrocution. It is stated that subsequently he heard from the neighbours about his daughter having been killed and that a false story is being planted. The accused persons abused and threatened him and chased him away. In an injured condition, the informant is being treated in Sadar Hospital, Nawada.

It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner



that the allegations as levelled in the F.I.R. are false and concocted. The petitioner has been falsely implicated in the case only for the reason of his relationship being the husband of the deceased. It is submitted that petitioner had entered into a love marriage with the deceased who died as a result of an accidental death resulting from electrocution. Subsequent thereto, U.D. Case no.320 dated 30.5.2020 was registered and investigation started. It was much thereafter for an occurrence alleged to have taken place on 29.5.2020 that the informant lodged the present F.I.R. on 10.7.2020 without any explanation for the delay. The petitioner is in custody since 15.7.2020. The contents of the post-mortem report have been manipulated. Chargesheet has been submitted in the case.

The application for bail is opposed by learned A.P.P. for the State and learned counsel for the informant. Learned counsel for the informant submits that from the contents of the post-mortem report which have been mentioned in the order of the learned trial court, it would transpire that the cause of death was Asphyxia due to constriction of neck by pressure (strangulation).

Having heard learned counsel for the parties and taking into consideration the facts and circumstances of the case



especially the contents of the post-mortem report, the Court is not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail and the application is rejected.

Learned trial court is directed to expedite the trial.

(Partha Sarthy, J)

Saurabh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

