

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.14332 of 2020

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-548 Year-2018 Thana- JAHANABAD District- Jehanabad

1. ARVIND RAM @ ARVIND DOM, S/o Late Krishna Dom Resident at Ambedkar Nagar, P.S.- Jehanabad, District- Jehanabad
2. Ashok Dom @ Dataki Ram S/o Late Ramequbal Dom Resident at Ambedkar Nagar, P.S.- Jehanabad, District- Jehanabad
3. Deepak Dom S/o Ashok Dom Resident at Ambedkar Nagar, P.S.- Jehanabad, District- Jehanabad
4. Vakil Dom S/o Arjun Dom Resident at Ambedkar Nagar, P.S.- Jehanabad, District- Jehanabad
5. Govinda Dom S/o Arjun Dom Resident at Ambedkar Nagar, P.S.- Jehanabad, District- Jehanabad

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Sanjay Kumar Sinha
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Bharat Lal

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHUTOSH KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

4 25-10-2021 Heard Mr. Sanjay Kumar Sinha, learned

advocate for the petitioners and Mr. Bharat Lal, learned

APP for the State.

The petitioners seek bail in anticipation of their

arrest in connection with Jehanabad P. S. Case No. 548

of 2018, dated 13.07.2018, instituted for the offences

under Sections 147, 148, 149, 323, 341, 307, 504 and

506 of the Indian Penal Code.



The petitioners are alleged to have assaulted the members of the prosecution party for their not having acceded to the demand of withdrawing a murder case in which the petitioners were made accused earlier. Though the accusation against the petitioners is general and omnibus but two persons are said to have received simple injuries.

Regard being had to the fact that the petitioners are also accused in a murder case about which reference has been made in the F.I.R., I am not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to them.

The prayer for anticipatory bail is, hereby, rejected.

However, if the petitioners surrender before the court below and seek bail, the court below shall consider the fact that the injuries suffered by the two victims are simple in nature and that there could be a possibility of false implication of the petitioners and shall pass orders in accordance with law, without being prejudiced by the



fact that the present petition on their behalf has not
been entertained by this Court.

(Ashutosh Kumar, J)

skm/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

