

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.5745 of 2021

-
-
1. SANTOSH KUMAR MISHRA Son of Late Uday Bhan Mishra Resident of Pakri Mishra, P.s.- Kateya, District- Gopalganj, Bihar, Pin-841508
 2. Nand Kishore Mishra son of Late sheo Nath Mishra Resident of Pakri Mishra, P.s.- Kateya, District- Gopalganj, Bihar, Pin-841508

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar represented through the Principal Secretary, Revenue Department, Government of Bihar, Patna
2. The Principal Secretary, Disaster Management, Government of Bihar, Patna
3. The Principal Secretary, Panchayati Raj Department, Government of Bihar, Patna
4. The District Magistrate, Gopalganj at Gopalganj, Distt.- Gopalganj
5. The Deputy Development Commissioner, Gopalganj at Gopalganj, Distt.- Gopalganj
6. District Panchayati Raj Officer, Gopalganj at Gopalganj, Distt.- Gopalganj
7. Circle Officer, Block- Kateya, at - Kateya, District- Gopalganj
8. Block Development Officer, Block- Kateya at Kateya, District- Gopalganj
9. The Mukhia Gram Panchayat raj Bariya, P.s.- Kateya, District- Gopalganj

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Dr. Anshuman, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Sajid Salim Khan, SC-25

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

2 27-08-2021 Heard Dr. Anshuman Pandey, learned counsel for

the petitioners and Mr. Sajid Salim Khan, learned

Additional SC-25 for the State through video conferencing.

2. The grievance of the petitioners is that the
Panchayat Sarkar Bhavan is being constructed in the
Gram Panchayat, Raj Bairya, Distt. Gopalganj, in violation



of the norms prescribed in the check list at Annexure P/4 to the writ application.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that a detailed representation has been filed by the 9 ward members of the *Panchayat* and the villagers before the District Magistrate at Annexure-P/1, but no action has been taken on the representation of the petitioners and the villagers by the District Magistrate, Gopalganj (Respondent No. 4).

4. On the other hand, learned counsel for the State submits that the District Magistrate (Respondent No. 4) may be directed to dispose the representation filed by the petitioners and others in accordance with law within a reasonable time frame.

5. Regard being had to the submission made by the parties and the fact that the petitioners have already filed a representation before the District Magistrate, Gopalganj, (Annexure P/1), the Respondent No. 4, i.e. the District Magistrate, Gopalganj, is directed to dispose the representation of the petitioners in accordance with law and by a reasoned order within a period of three months from



the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order.

6. With aforesaid observation and direction, this writ application is, accordingly, disposed of.

(Anil Kumar Sinha, J)

S.Ali/-

U			
---	--	--	--

