

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
(FROM RESIDENTIAL OFFICE VIA VIDEO APPLICATION)
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.16366 of 2021**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-180 Year-2020 Thana- DULHIN BAZAR District- Patna

RAMEQBAL PASWAN @ EQBAL PASWAN S/O JAIKISHUN PASWAN
@ TANGER PASWAN R/O VILLAGE-ULAR POKHAR, P.S.-DULHIN
BAZAR, DISTRICT-PATNA.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

THE STATE OF BIHAR

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Sarvan Kumar, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Akhileshwar Dayal, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJEEV RANJAN PRASAD
ORAL ORDER**

3 23-09-2021 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

Petitioner in the present case is seeking regular bail in connection with Dulhin Bazar P.S. Case No.180/2020 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 285, 326(A), 307 and 504 of the Indian Penal Code. He is in custody since 15.09.2020. The petitioner has no criminal antecedent.

As per the prosecution story, the informant submitted a written report before the S.H.O., Dulhin Bazar stating therein that on 14.09.2020 when he was coming to his house, all of sudden the petitioner started abusing him and when the informant protested then the petitioner threw acid upon the informant due to which he received severe injuries and was referred to P.M.C.H., Patna for treatment.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has been falsely implicated in this case. Learned counsel submits that the petitioner and informant are close-door neighbours and the petitioner has been implicated in this case due to previous enmity.

Learned APP for the State is present and has opposed the prayer for regular bail of the petitioner.

Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case wherein the allegation against the petitioner is that of throwing acid and in the case diary it has come through the doctor that the informant received 30% burn injuries, the kind of allegations against the petitioner and the materials placed before this Court as also considering the minimum period prescribed in such cases, for the present this Court is not inclined to release the petitioner on bail. The prayer for bail is, thus, refused.

Let the trial be expedited.

(Rajeev Ranjan Prasad, J)

arvind/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

Note: The ordersheet duly signed has been attached with the record. However, in view of the present arrangements, during Pandemic period all concerned shall act on the basis of the copy of the order uploaded on the High Court website under the heading 'Judicial Orders Passed During The Pandemic Period'.