

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.14700 of 2021

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-168 Year-2017 Thana- BEGUSARAI TOWN District- Begusarai

NANDAN SINGH @ NANDAN KUMAR SINGH Son of Sri Kamleshwari
Singh Resident of Village - Ramdiri Tola Nakti, Ward No. 05, Police Station -
Matihani, District - Begusarai.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

THE STATE OF BIHAR

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Setu Prateek, Adv

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Shyameshwar Dayal, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MADHURESH PRASAD
ORAL ORDER

5 16-09-2021 In view of sudden resurgence of COVID – 19

infection there is limited functioning of the High Court and

therefore the matter has been listed for consideration through

virtual mode.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned

APP for the State.

This Court would expect that the petitioner's

Counsel would honour his undertaking in the instant

proceedings regarding supply of requisite court fee etc. within

two weeks from the date he is called upon to do so by the office.

Petitioner seeks bail in connection with Begusarai

Town P.S. Case No. 168 of 2017 registered under Sections 302,

149, 120B and 34 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 27 of



the Arms Act.

The petitioner's counsel submits that co-accused has been enlarged on bail. The Petitioner is stated to be in custody since 5.4.2017. There is an allegation of causing death by fire-arm injury against the petitioner along with others. His prayer has earlier been rejected twice.

Learned APP submits that the prosecution evidence has already been closed at the trial.

Having regard to the aforesaid stage of trial, this Court would only indicate that the Trial Court, without any unnecessary adjournments and undue delay, should proceed, to pass judgment expeditiously.

Considering the rival submissions as also the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court for the purposes of grant of bail is inclined to accept the submissions advanced by learned APP. This Court, for the present, is not inclined to allow petitioner's prayer for bail. The same is rejected.

The application for bail is thus dismissed.

(Madhuresh Prasad, J)

SUMIT/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

