

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.4667 of 2020

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-268 Year-2019 Thana- RUNISAIDPUR District- Sitamarhi

1. URMILA DEVI Wife of Ramu Paswan Resident of Village - Morsand, P.S.-
Runnisaidpur, District- Sitamarhi
2. Ramu Paswan Son of Kapil Paswan Resident of Village - Morsand, P.S.-
Runnisaidpur, District- Sitamarhi

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Vinay Ranjan

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Lalan Kumar

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL KUMAR PANWAR
ORAL ORDER

4 27-10-2021 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and
learned APP for the State.

The instant application for anticipatory bail has been
filed by the petitioners apprehending their arrest in connection
with Runnisaidpur P.S. Case no. 268 of 2019. instituted for the
offence under Sections 302/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

As per allegation in the FIR, petitioners are in-laws of
the brother of the informant and have killed him by hanging.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners
has submitted that petitioners are innocent and have been falsely
implicated in this case. In the present case there is no chance of
their involvement as they are in-laws of the deceased. In fact



deceased has himself committed suicide by hanging himself.

Learned APP has opposed the prayer of bail.

Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering the fact that body of the deceased was found at the house of he petitioners, it also revealed from the postmortem report that the cause of death due to asphyxia leading to CR failure as a result of hanging, I am not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners. The prayer for anticipatory bail stands rejected.

However, if the petitioners surrender and pray for regular bail, the same shall be considered by the court below, without being prejudiced by this order of rejection.

(Sunil Kumar Panwar, J)

sushma/-

U			
---	--	--	--

