

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.11905 of 2021**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-117 Year-2020 Thana- NARDIGANJ District- Nawada

RANJIT SINGH S/O LATE BALAK SINGH RESIDENT OF VILLAGE
BHADAUR P.S NARDIGANJ, DISTRICT-NAWADA.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

THE STATE OF BIHAR

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Vibhuti Ranjan Sonvadra, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Ms. Rita Verma, APP
For the Informant	:	Mr. Sheo Kumar Prasad, Advocate

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PARTHA SARTHY
ORAL ORDER**

4 17-08-2021 Heard learned counsel for the parties through video conferencing.

The petitioner has preferred this application for grant of regular bail in connection with a case registered under section 302 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

As per allegations in the FIR, it is stated that while the three accused persons including the petitioner herein were present, the brother of the informant went to ask for his dues from the petitioner. It is stated that the petitioner threw him in the trunk of the tree. Thereafter, all the three accused persons assaulted him. The accused persons escaped. It appears that the brother of the informant had died.

It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner



that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the case. Even as per the allegations in the FIR it would transpire that the occurrence take at the spur of the moment. Both the parties were drinking toddy. The case of the petitioner stands on a similar footing to that of the two other co-accused who have been enlarged on bail vide order passed in Cr. Misc. No. 40309 of 2020. The petitioner is in custody since 27.5.2020 and has no criminal antecedent.

The application for bail is opposed by learned APP for the State and learned counsel for the informant. It is submitted by learned counsel for the informant that there is specific allegation against this petitioner and it is on the said basis that the other two accused were granted bail in the above mentioned case. Referring to the postmortem report learned counsel for the informant submits that the cause of death is stated to be the injuries caused by hard and blunt object on the chest. Thus, the accused persons were armed as well.

Having heard learned counsel for the parties and taking into consideration the facts and circumstances of the case, the contents of the FIR, order granting bail to the other co-accused as also the contents of the postmortem, the Court is not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail and the application is



rejected.

Liberty is granted to the petitioner to renew his prayer
for bail after 6 months.

(Partha Sarthy, J)

Spd/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

