HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT JODHPUR

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 13550/2020

Ratan Lal Jat S/o Shri Dev Kishan Jat, Aged About 34 Years, R/o Bus Stand Ke Paas, Dhamana, Tehsil Kapasan, District Chittorgarh, Rajasthan. Presently Posted On The Post Of Security Gaurd In Panchayat Samiti Dhamana, Tehsil Kapasan, District Chittorgarh, Rajasthan.

----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through The Secretary, Department Of Rural Development And Panchayati Raj (Panchayati Raj), Government Of Rajasthan, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
2. Deputy Secretary, Rural Development And Panchayati Raj Department, Secretariat Jaipur, Rajasthan.
3. District Collector, Chittorgarh, District Chittorgarh, Rajasthan.
4. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Chittorgar, Rajasthan
5. Development Officer, Panchayat Samiti Kapasan, District Chittorgarh, Rajasthan.
6. Gram Panchayat Dhamana, Panchayat Samiti Kapasan, District Chittorgarh, Rajasthan Through Its Sarpanch.
7. Aman Secure Service, Mukam Post Dhamana, Tehsil Kapasan, District Chittorgarh Rajasthan Through Its Proprietor.

----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Pawan Singh Rathore, through Cisco Webex
For Respondent(s)	:	

JUSTICE DINESH MEHTA

Judgment

17/12/2020

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the issue raised in the present writ petition is identical to S.B Civil Writ

Petition No.10695/2018 (Gopiram Gurjar & Ors. Vs. State of Rajasthan & Ors.), decided on 16.05.2018 and that similar relief be granted to the petitioners also.

In the case of Gopiram Gurjar (supra), a Coordinate Bench of this Court observed as under:-

> "It is further contended that for the present; the petitioners would be satisfied, if the State-respondents are directed to decide the representation of the petitioners, within a time frame, in the light of the adjudication in the case of Mukesh Kumar Khatik (supra), which they are ready and willing to address within two weeks hereinafter.
>
> In view of the limited prayer addressed; the instant writ proceedings are closed with a direction to the petitioners to address a comprehensive ventilating the grievances raised in the writ application.
>
> In case, a representation is so addressed within the aforesaid period, the State-respondents are directed to consider and decide the same by a reasoned and speaking order as expeditiously as possible in accordance with law. However, in no case later than ten weeks from the date of receipt of the representation along with a certified copy of this order.
>
> With the observations and directions, as indicated above, the writ application stands disposed of."

In view of the submissions made, the writ petition filed by the petitioners is disposed of in light of and with similar directions as given in the case of Gopiram Gurjar (supra).

The stay application also stands disposed of accordingly.

(DINESH MEHTA),J

115-CPGoyal/-