HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT JODHPUR

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 10304/2020

1. Rajkumar S/o Narayan Das, Aged About 36 Years, R/o At Present Working As Computer Operator At Krishi Upaj Mandi Samiti Rawla Tehsil Gharsana District Sri Ganganagar.
2. Seema Sharma W/o Arvind Kumar Sharma, Aged About 39 Years, R/o At Present Working As Computer Operator At Krishi Upaj Mandi Samiti, Sardar Shahar, Churu.
3. Harnek Singh S/o Bhajan Singh, Aged About 34 Years, R/o At Present Working As Computer Operator At Krishi Upaj Mandi Samiti, Sri Vijay Nagar, Sri Ganganagar.
4. Bharat Sharma S/o Purushotam Das, Aged About 38 Years, R/o At Present Working As Computer Operator At Krishi Upaj Mandi Samiti, Jaitsar, Sri Ganganagar.
5. Keshav Kumar S/o Raj Kumar, Aged About 35 Years, R/o At Present Working As Computer Operator At Krishi Upaj Mandi Samiti Ridmalsar District Sri Ganganagar.
6. Attar Chand S/o Om Prakash, Aged About 29 Years, R/o At Present Working As Computer Operator At Krishi Upaj Mandi Samiti Padampur District Sri Ganganagar.
7. Vijay Kumar Gupta S/o Sita Ram Gupta, Aged About 34 Years, R/o At Present Working As Computer Operator At Krishi Upaj Mandi Samiti Sri Karanpur District Sri Ganganagar.

----Petitioners

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Secretary Agricultural (Group-2) Department, Govt. Of Rajasthan, Jaipur.
2. The Joint Secretary, Agricultural (Group-2) Department, Govt. Of Rajasthan, Jaipur.
3. Director, Agricultural Marketing, Directorate, Govt. Of Rajasthan, Jaipur.
4. Krishi Upaj Mandi Samiti Rawla, Sri Ganganagar.
5. Krishi Upaj Mandi Samiti, Sardar Sahar, Churu.
6. Krishi Upaj Mandi Samiti, Sri Vijaynagar, Sri Ganganagar.
7. Krishi Upaj Mandi Samiti, Jaitsar, Sri Ganganagar.

8. Krishi Upaj Mandi Samiti, Ridmalsar, Sri Ganganagar.
9. Krishi Upaj Mandi Samiti, Padampur, Sri Ganganagar.
10. Krishi Upaj Mandi Samiti, Sri Karanpur, Sri Ganganagar.

----Respondents

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Karan Joshi through CISCO Webex App
For Respondent(s)	:	-

JUSTICE DINESH MEHTA

Order

21/10/2020

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the issue raised in the present writ petition is identical to ***S.B Civil Writ Petition No.10695/2018 (Gopiram Gurjar & Ors. Vs. State of Rajasthan & Ors.)***, decided on 16.05.2018 and that similar relief be granted to the petitioners also.

In the case of Gopiram Gurjar (supra), a Coordinate Bench of this Court observed as under:-

> "It is further contended that for the present; the petitioners would be satisfied, if the State respondents are directed to decide the representation of the petitioners, within a time frame, in the light of the adjudication in the case of Mukesh Kumar Khatik (supra), which they are ready and willing to address within two weeks hereinafter.
>
> In view of the limited prayer addressed; the instant writ proceedings are closed with a direction to the petitioners to address a comprehensive ventilating the grievances raised in the writ application.
>
> In case, a representation is so addressed within the aforesaid period, the State-respondents are directed to consider and decide the same by a reasoned and speaking order as expeditiously as possible in accordance with law. However, in no case later than ten

weeks from the date of receipt of the representation along with a certified copy of this order.

With the observations and directions, as indicated above, the writ application stands disposed of."

In view of the submissions made, the writ petition filed by the petitioners is disposed of in light of and with similar directions as given in the case of ***Gopiram Gurjar (supra)***.

Stay application also stands disposed of accordingly.

(DINESH MEHTA),J

26-Amar/-

RAJASTHAN HIGH COURT

सत्यमेव जयते