**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT JODHPUR**

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 7735/2020

1. Naresh Singhvi S/o Shri Padamraj Singhvi, Aged About 50 Years, R/o D-45 Shankar Nagar, Pal Road, Jodhpur.
2. Shyam Chandra Sharma S/o Shri Motilal Sharma, Aged About 65 Years, R/o 95 Guru Rajaram Nagar2, Behind Parihar Nagar, Bhadasia, Jodhpur.
3. Anil Mehta S/o Shri Ganpatraj Mehta, Aged About 57 Years, R/o Maa-Ashish, New Loco Road, Near Hanuwant Bagh, Ratanada, Jodhpur.
4. Sudhir Bachhawat S/o Shri Shri Satyadev Sushil, Aged About 51 Years, R/o C-44, Shastri Nagar, Jodhpur.
5. Usha Mohnot (Kankariya) W/o Shri S.k. Jain, Aged About 60 Years, R/o 177 C Shyam Nagar, Pal Link Road, Jodhpur.
6. Ratanlal Bothra S/o Shri Devilal Bothra, Aged About 52 Years, R/o 48 Urad Nagar, Opposite Preksha Hospital Hospital, Pal Road, Jodhpur.

----Petitioners

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through The Secretary, Education Department, Govt. Of Rajasthan, Jaipur.
2. The Director, Secondary Education, Govt. Of Rajasthan, Bikaner.
3. Managing Committee (Shikshan Samiti Shri Singh Sabha), Sardar Senior Secondarry School, Jodhpur Through Its Secretary.

----Respondents

---

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. CP Trivedi

---

**JUSTICE DINESH MEHTA**

**Order**

**04/09/2020**

1. Counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners would be satisfied, if the respondents are directed to consider and decide his representation keeping into consideration the judgment rendered by Hon'ble Apex Court in *Rajasthan Welfare Society Vs. State of Rajasthan,* reported in [(2005) 5 SCC 275].

2. In light of such submission made by learned counsel for the petitioners, the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the petitioners to file a representation within 15 days, alongwith a certified copy of the order instant and a photocopy of the above referred judgment of the Supreme Court. In case the representation is filed, the respondents shall decide representation of the petitioners within a period of 60 days from today strictly in accordance with law while taking into consideration precedent law of *Rajasthan Welfare Society Vs. State of Rajasthan* (supra).

3. It is made clear that aforesaid direction to decide the representation has been issued only with a view to ensure expeditious redressal of petitioners' grievance. The same may not be construed to be an order to decide the representation in a particular manner.

4. The stay application also stands disposed of accordingly.

**(DINESH MEHTA),J**

156-Amar/-