

\$~23

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CONT.CAS(C) 150/2019**

AMULYA RATAN PATRA

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Kumar Rajesh Singh, Mrs. Punam Singh, Mrs. Shabani Chakraborty and Ms. Rakesh Mishra, Advocates.

versus

S K PATTANAYAK & ORS

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Ruchir Mishra, Mr. Sanjiv Kumar Saxena, Mr. M.K. Tiwari, Mr. Abhishek Rana and Mr. Ramneek Mishra, Advocates for R-1 to R-3. Mr. Samar Bansal, Mr. Anandh Venkataramani, Mr. Vinayak Mehrotra, Advocates along with Mr. Ashok Kumar Mahato, Joint Registrar, Legal Cell and Mr. Daibaki Nandan, DAS, AR (Accounts) for R-4 to R-6.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A. K. CHAWLA

ORDER

%

17.01.2020

During the course of hearing, ld. counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner who retired on 31.12.2018 has been extended the pensionary benefits. It is however contended that some other retiral benefits are still remaining outstanding. The instant contempt petition came to be filed alleging non-payment of the pensionary benefits. In the event, any other retiral benefit is remaining outstanding and payable by the respondents, the petitioner should make a representation to the University

i.e. Vishwa Bharati University, in the first instance and should he have any surviving grievance, it shall be open to the petitioner to have recourse to the remedy(ies) as may be available under law.

Taking into account the totality of facts and circumstances, the contempt proceedings are dropped.

A. K. CHAWLA, J

JANUARY 17, 2020

nn