

\$~46

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.REV.P. 664/2017

ASHMIN

..... Petitioner

Through Mr.K.S. Singh, Adv. with Ms.Kranti
Verma, Adv.

versus

STATE

..... Respondent

Through Mr.Panna Lal Sharma, APP for State.
SI Vikrant Singh PS Swaroop Nagar.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESH KUMAR KAIT

ORDER

%

10.02.2020

Crl. M.A. 14649/2017

Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.

Application is disposed of.

Crl.M.A. 9390/2018

In view of the reasons stated in the present application, the application is allowed and disposed of.

Crl.Rev.P.664/2017

With the consent of the parties, the matter is taken up for hearing.

Counsel for the petitioner submits that inadvertently charges under section 308 IPC have not been framed against co-accused Raj Kumar.

The present petition is disposed of with liberty to parties of being heard before the Trial Court and the Trial Court shall pass order to this

effect whether the charge under section 308 IPC is required to be framed against co-accused Raj Kumar.

SURESH KUMAR KAIT, J

FEBRUARY 10, 2020

ab