

\$~29

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 4470/2018**

**SURESH VERMA & ANOTHER**

..... Petitioners

Through: Mr.Roshan Lal Saini and Ms.Kavita  
Saini, Advocates with petitioners in  
person.

versus

**THE STATE (N.C.T. OF DELHI) & OTHERS**

..... Respondents

Through: Mr.Mukesh Kumar, APP for State.  
With Mr.Keshav Verma and  
Mr.Yogesh Goel, Advocates for R-  
2/complainant with Shri Jai Prakash  
Verma, father and SPA of  
R2/complainant in person.

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ KUMAR OHRI**

**ORDER**

%

**05.02.2020**

1. The present proceedings are instituted seeking quashing of FIR No. 246/2017, registered under Sections 498-A/406/34 IPC at Police Nihal Vihar, Delhi on the ground that parties have settled their disputes.
2. Learned APP for the State, on instructions, submits that the charge-sheet has been filed against six accused persons, out of which initially only two petitioners filed the present petition seeking quashing of FIR, whereas the other four accused were impleaded as respondents No.3 to 6 in the present petition.

3. Learned counsels for the parties submit that with the intervention of respectable members of the society, well-wishers and relatives, the parties have arrived at an amicable settlement of their dispute before the Family Courts, Tis Hazari, Delhi out of Court vide settlement dated 15.09.2016. In terms of the said settlement, it was agreed between the parties that petitioner No.1 and respondent No.2 will file petition for divorce without any consideration and consequently their marriage has also been dissolved by mutual consent vide decree of divorce dated 04.06.2018 passed by the Judge, Family Courts (West), Tis Hazari, Delhi. He further submits that in terms of the said settlement, respondent No. 2 is now left with no claim or grievance whatsoever against the petitioners.

4. The petitioners and SPA of respondent No. 2, who are present in person are identified by their respective counsels and the Investigating Officer.

5. The complainant has executed a SPA in favour of her father, who is present in Court today and has been identified by the Investigating Officer. He submits that his daughter has remarried and has no objection if the present FIR and consequent proceedings arising therefrom are quashed.

6. Learned counsels for the parties submit that no other proceedings are pending between the parties.

7. The parties are bound by the statements made in Court today.

8. In view of the above and the fact that the parties have amicably settled their disputes, which are matrimonial in nature, no useful purpose will be served in continuance of the criminal proceedings against the petitioners. It is accordingly directed that the aforesaid FIR and the

consequent proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed.

9. With the above directions, the present petition is disposed of.

10. Copy of this order be given *dasti* to the learned counsels for the parties.

**MANOJ KUMAR OHRI, J**

**FEBRUARY 05, 2020**

*'dc'*