

\$~17

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(CRL) 2769/2018**

SMITA ARORA

..... Petitioner

Through Mr Dharmendra Kumar Mishra, Mr Ravi
Joshi, Advocates.

versus

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI & ORS

..... Respondents

Through Mr Rajesh Mahajan, ASC for State.
Mr Naveen Kumar Raheja, ASC for
DDA with Ms Meenakshi Jha, Advocates for
R2/DDA.
Insp. Subhash Chand, DIU/West.
Mr Umesh Sharma, Advocate for R4.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIBHU BAKHRU

ORDER

%

15.01.2020

1. The petitioner has filed the present petition, *inter alia*, impugning FIR No. 503/2017 under Section 467/468/471/120-B of the IPC registered with P.S. Rajouri Garden.
2. The said FIR was registered on the complaint filed by Mr R.K. Puri, Deputy Director (LA), Vikas Sadan, Delhi Development Authority. The complaint had alleged that as per the records available with the Delhi Development Authority (DDA), the conveyance deed in respect of the property bearing no. F-27, Block-B, Najafgarh Road, Residential Scheme (Tagore Garden), Delhi was executed in favour of Sh Kanwal Kishore and

Sh Chand Kiran s/o Sh Ram Parkash Manchanda r/o J-3/92, Rajouri Garden, New Delhi. Thereafter, the mutation/transfer was done jointly in favour of Smt Madhu Manchanda w/o Sh Kanwal Kishore, Smt. Kiran Aora w/o Late Shri Chand Kiran and two children, namely, Gagan and Ruby and Smt. Phool wati w/o Sh Ram Parkash and mother of deceased Sh Chand Kiran. It was alleged that one Smt. Raksha Arora was involved in forging the conveyance deed of the said property and her daughter is now claiming ownership of the above property. The petitioner states that the said allegations are patently incorrect as, in fact, the conveyance deed was already executed.

3. Mr Mahajan, learned ASC appearing for DDA states that DDA has now traced the file relating to the said property and the same is being investigated. He states, on instructions of the IO, that the investigation would be concluded within two months and a final report would be filed.

4. In view of the above, this Court does not consider it apposite to quash this FIR while the matter is still being investigated. At this stage, it would not be apposite to examine whether the allegations made in the FIR are merited.

5. The petition is, accordingly, disposed of by directing the respondent to complete the investigation within the aforesaid period of two months. DDA is also directed to cooperate fully with the investigating officer.

VIBHU BAKHRU, J

JANUARY 15, 2020

pkv