

\$~13

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CONT.CAS(C) 549/2018**
MANOJ JAIN & ORS Petitioners
Through: Mr. Hitaish Chauhan, Advocate.

versus

PRADEEP SINGH KHAROLA Respondent
Through: Ms. Neetika Sharma and
Ms. Meenakshi Kashyap, Advocate
for respondent/Air India.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUP JAIRAM BHAMBHANI

ORDER
% **12.03.2020**

The prayer in this petition was for alleged willful disobedience of order dated 21.12.2017 made in W. P. (C) No. 11495/2017. Order dated 21.12.2017 had directed the respondent to pass a speaking order deciding the petitioners' representation and to convey to the petitioners such decision, so that the petitioners could avail their remedy against such decision, as may be available to them under law.

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that although, in purported compliance of the direction contained in order dated 21.12.2017, the respondent has passed the order dated 06.03.2020, the petitioners do not agree with the reasoning and rationale of the order. Learned counsel in fact states, that order dated 06.03.2020 is not a speaking order properly so-called.

Compliance affidavit dated 12.03.2020 has been handed-up by the respondent, annexing speaking order dated 06.03.2020 alongwith the

affidavit. The same is taken on record.

A perusal of order dated 06.03.2020 shows that it does contain reasoning. Whether the petitioners concur or disagree with such reasoning is another matter.

In the circumstances, after making some submissions, learned counsel for the petitioners states that this petition may be disposed of, granting liberty to the petitioners to assail order dated 06.03.2020, if so advised, in accordance with law.

Accordingly, the present petition is disposed of, granting liberty to the petitioners as prayed for.

ANUP JAIRAM BHAMBHANI, J

MARCH 12, 2020

SSC