

\$~15

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CM (M) 357/2018 & CM APPL. 12193/2018 (stay)**

UNION OF INDIA

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Siddharth Panda, Advocate.
(M:9891488088)

versus

M/S KAILASH TRADERS & ANR.

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Amit Sethi and Ms. Riddhi,
Advocates. (M:8447276840)

CORAM:

JUSTICE PRATHIBA M. SINGH

ORDER

%

14.01.2020

1. By the impugned order dated 18th December, 2017, the Petitioner's application for filing additional documents, which included sale deeds of similar properties for establishing the market value of the property, has been rejected as the same is belated.
2. It is seen from the impugned order that all the additional documents are registered sale deeds which are exhibited, subject to mode of proof and admissibility. There is no dispute as to the fact that copies of these registered sale deeds are to be produced by the government.
3. Subject to the objections of the Id. counsel for the Respondents, with regard to the contents of these documents and their effect on enhancement of compensation, being left open, it is directed that the documents shall be taken into consideration by the Reference Court while adjudicating the issue of enhancement of compensation.
4. If the Respondents, who are Petitioners before the Reference Court, wish to lead additional evidence, they are permitted to do so. Since the

reference has been pending for several years, it is directed that the reference shall be disposed of expeditiously. The impugned order dated 18th December, 2017 stands modified accordingly.

5. With these observations, the petition and all pending applications are disposed of.

PRATHIBA M. SINGH, J.

JANUARY 14, 2020/dk