$~17

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

\+ W.P.(C) 11405/2019, CM APPL. 46922/2019

AT KEARNEY LIMITED - INDIA BRANCH OFFICE .... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Piyush Kaushik, Adv.

versus

COMMISSIONER OF INCOME TAX (INTERNATIONAL TAXATION)-1, N.DELHI & ANR. ..... Respondents

Through: Ms. Laxmi Gurung, Sr. Standing counsel with Ms.Talha Rahman, Mr.Siddharth Gupta and Mr. Mohd. Shaz Khan, Advs.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIPIN SANGHI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV NARULA

O R D E R

% 23.01.2020

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner states that though the principal amount has been refunded after the issuance of notice in this petition, a portion of interest payable under Section 244A has not been remitted. He states that this position had been communicated to the respondent on two occasions along with calculations.

2. None appeared on behalf of respondent when the matter is called out.

3. Respondents are directed to examine the remaining grievance of the petitioner with regard to the non-payment of only part interest amount in terms of Section 244A. In case, the same is found payable, the respondents are directed to made payment thereof within the next four weeks.

4. Hence, the respondent shall file a reply within the same period on that limited aspect.

5. The petitioner may file the said communication on record.

6. Later on, Mr. Siddharth Gupta, Adv. has appeared on behalf of respondent and states that the rectification proceedings have been undertaken to re-calculate the interest payable under Section 244A and that the balance interest amount would be remitted within four weeks.

7. In view of the aforesaid, the petition stands disposed of.

8. *Dasti.*

VIPIN SANGHI, J

SANJEEV NARULA, J

JANUARY 23, 2020
Pallavi