

\$~28

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 8648/2019 & CM No.35732/2019

BHARAT RAJ PUNJ

..... Petitioner

Through

Mr.Mohit Mathur, Sr. Adv. with
Mr.Sandeep Kapur, Ms.Apoorva
Pandey, Mr.Gudipati G. Kashyap and
Mr.Rose Verma, Advs.

versus

UNION OF INDIA AND ANR.

..... Respondents

Through

Mr.Mohit Kapoor and Mr.Paras Jain,
Advs. for R-1.
Mr.Vikas Mahajan, CGSC,
Mr.Aakash Varma, Mr.Prajesh V.S.
and Mr.Padma Kumar, Advs. for R-2.
Ms.Vibhooti Malhotra, Sr. St.
Counsel and Mr.Shalinder Singh,
Adv. for Income Tax Department.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAVIN CHAWLA

ORDER

%

21.01.2020

The learned counsel for the respondent no.1 submits that the Lookout Circular (LOC) issued against the petitioner has expired by efflux of time.

The learned senior counsel for the petitioner, on the other hand, submits that previously also the petitioner had filed a Writ Petition, being WP(C) 5453/2019, challenging the earlier LOC issued against the petitioner. The same was disposed of by the order dated 28.05.2019 *inter-alia* taking note of the fact that the LOC itself was to expire on 10.06.2019. He submits

that thereafter the LOC was renewed at the behest of the respondent no.1. He submits that therefore, the respondent no.1 should clarify if it is seeking renewal of the LOC in the present instance as well.

The learned counsel for the respondent no.2, on instructions, submits that no request for renewal or issuance of a fresh LOC has been received from any authority so far.

In view of the above, the present petition has become infructuous and is disposed of.

Dasti.

NAVIN CHAWLA, J

JANUARY 21, 2020/Arya