

\$~7

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CONT. CAS (C) 415/2020**

KAMLA NEHRU MAHILA MAHAVIDYALYAPetitioner
Through: Ms. Manisha Handa and Mr. Anurag
Dubey, Advocates.

versus

SH. T. PRITAM SINGH Respondent
Through: Ms. Arunima Diwedi, Advocate.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAJMI WAZIRI

ORDER
% **14.08.2020**

The hearing was conducted through video conferencing.

CM APPL. 19026/2020 (Court fee)

1. Exemption allowed, subject to the condition that the applicant will file the duly sworn/attested affidavit and the requisite Court fee within 72 hours from the date of resumption of the regular functioning of this Court.
2. The application stands disposed-off.

CONT. CAS (C) 415/2020

3. Issue notice.
4. Ms. Arunima Diwedi, learned counsel, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent/contemnor.
5. The petitioner is aggrieved by the inaction on part of the respondent apropos the directions issued in the order passed by this Court in W.P.(C) 3985/2020 dated 08.07.2020, which reads as under:

“

“ ...

14. Accordingly, the withdrawal of recognition as per the minutes of 313th meeting qua the Petitioner, communicated vide the impugned order dated 23rd June 2020, is set aside. The Petitioner shall now deal with the four grounds mentioned in the impugned order and submit a comprehensive response to the Committee in respect of the same. The Petitioner is also permitted to file any documents which it wishes to rely upon. The Committee shall, in view of the past history of this case, afford a hearing to the Petitioner, through its representative/s, and thereafter pass a reasoned order in this regard. Compliance as per the Regulations would, however, have to be adhered to by the Petitioner and the Petitioner is permitted to satisfy the Committee that it has complied with all the applicable Regulations.

... ”

6. The petitioner has already complied with the above requirements and supplied the requisite documents to the respondent on 28.07.2020. Despite that, its name is absent from the list of recognised colleges permitted to participate in the counselling session/admissions to the forthcoming academic session 2020-2021. In other words, not only is it disallowed from admitting new students, but with its recognition being suddenly taken away, it will cause the already enrolled students irreparable prejudice.
7. It is argued that, the respondent is required to comply with the aforementioned directions contained in the order dated 08.07.2020. In the circumstances, learned counsel for the respondent seeks time to schedule a meeting of the Committee so that a hearing could be accorded to the petitioner, preferably in the coming week. Let the said Committee meet on 21.08.2020, hear the petitioner and decide the

issue. Its decision shall be communicated to the petitioner within two weeks. If the petitioner is aggrieved by the decision of the Committee, it may pursue its legal remedies.

8. The petition stands disposed-off in above terms.
9. The order be uploaded on the website.

NAJMI WAZIRI, J

AUGUST 14, 2020/*rd*