

#1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

Judgment Delivered On: 10.08.2020

LPA 199/2020 & CM APPL.18560/2020 (Stay)

PARAMJEET SINGH

.....Appellant

versus

DIRECTORATE OF EDUCATION & ORS

.....Respondents

Advocates who appeared in this case:

For the Appellant : Mr. Hari Shanker, Advocate

For the Respondents : Mrs. Avnish Ahlawat, Standing Counsel GNCTD
(Services) with Mr. Nitesh Kumar Singh, Advocate
for R-1

Mr. Jasmeet Singh, Advocate for R-2, R-3 and R-4

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SIDDHARTH MRIDUL

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TALWANT SINGH

JUDGMENT

SIDDHARTH MRIDUL, J. (via Video Conferencing)

CM APPL.18561/2020 (Exemption)

Exemption granted subject to all just exceptions.

The application is disposed of accordingly.

LPA 199/2020

1. The present Letters Patent Appeal under Section 10(1) of the Delhi High Court Act and Clause 15 of letters and patent, instituted on behalf of the appellant, prays as follows:

“It is therefore most respectfully prayed that this Hon’ble Court may kindly be pleased to allow the appeal and set aside the impugned order dated 24.07.2020 passed in W.P. (C) 4225/2020 titled as ‘*Paramjeet Singh vs. Directorate of Education Through Secretary and Ors.*’ passed by the learned Single Judge in view of the above said facts, circumstances and submissions in interest of justice.”

2. Although, the present Letters Patent Appeal assails the interim order dated 24.07.2020, passed by the learned Single Judge of this Hon’ble Court, in W.P. (C) 4225/2020 titled as ‘*Paramjeet Singh vs. Directorate of Education Through Secretary and Ors.*’ on the ground that the petitioner’s transfer from the school, where he was hitherto working, to the Office of Delhi Sikh Gurudwara Management Committee, Gurudwara Rakabh Ganj, Delhi (for short ‘the Committee’)—which it is asseverated amounted to termination—is contrary to the decisions of this Court and, therefore, irregular; Mr. Hari Shanker, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the appellant limits the relief in this appeal to a direction to the respondent No.2,

namely, School Management of Guru Harkrishan Public School to pay his salary, in terms of the directions issued by the learned Single Judge vide the impugned order dated 24.07.2020, which has not been paid for the months of June and July 2020, within one week from today, subject to the appellant reporting to the Office of the Committee by tomorrow i.e. 11.08.2020 at 11:00 am.

3. Having heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the parties, it is directed that subject to the appellant reporting to the Office of the Committee, as afore-mentioned, the respondent No.2 shall pay his salary for the months of June and July 2020, in accord with the salary drawn by him in the month of May, 2020.

4. The above order is without prejudice to the rights and contentions of the parties. We have not expressed any opinion on the merits of the case.

5. No further directions are called for.

6. With the above direction, the appeal is accordingly disposed of. The pending application also stands disposed of.

7. Needless to state that, we have not expressed any opinion on the merits of this case.

SIDDHARTH MRIDUL
(JUDGE)

TALWANT SINGH
(JUDGE)

AUGUST 10, 2020
dn/as

भारतम्

Page 4 of 4