

\$~A-16

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 4853/2020 and CM Nos. 17501-03/2020

NAVEEN TEACHER TRAINING SCHOOL..... Petitioner

Through Mr.Sanjay Sharawat, Adv.

versus

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER
EDUCATION & ANR.

..... Respondents

Through Ms.Arunima Dwivedi, Standing
Counsel for NCTE.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAYANT NATH

ORDER

%

13.08.2020

This hearing is conducted through Video-Conferencing.

1. Issue notice.
2. Ms. Arunima Dwivedi, Standing Counsel for NCTE accepts notice. She submits that this matter is belated and stale as the application of the petitioner was returned on 18.06.2013.
3. Mr. Sanjay Sharawat, Advocate, who appears on behalf of the petitioner, says that the issue raised in the instant case is similar to the issue which arose in a batch of writ petitions, the lead matter being W.P.(C)No.8820/2019, titled ***Sir Chhotu Ram Jat College of Education v. National Council for Teacher Education & Anr.*** This judgment, according to Mr. Sanjay Sharawat, was rendered on 18.10.2019. He has also pointed

out that W.P. (C) 8820/2019 also pertains to an issue which arose in 2013.

4. Ms.Arunima Dwivedi, learned counsel appearing for the respondents does not dispute the above fact. She however submits that in one of these connected matters, a Letter Patent Appeal has been filed being LPA No.126/2020 titled as ***NCTE & Anr. vs. Baba Shirdi Nath Education College*** in which notice has been issued.

5. I may note that there is no interim order passed in the above LPA. It is also a matter of fact that large number of matters have been disposed of by this court and a Coordinate Bench of this court based on the judgment of this court in W.P. (C) 8820/2019 titled as “***Sir Chhotu Ram Jat college of Education v. National Council for Teacher Education & Anr.***”

6. In my opinion, the present writ petition is factually akin to the earlier batch of writ petitions which have already been disposed of by this court. Accordingly, the present writ petition is disposed of. The relief sought in prayer clause (a) is allowed. Directions contained in the aforesaid judgment in W.P. (C) 8820/2019 shall apply *mutatis mutandis* in this case as well.

7. Pending applications also stand disposed of.

JAYANT NATH, J

AUGUST 13, 2020

rb