

\$~15

* IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+ W.P.(CRL.) 1127/2020
SONALI KUMARI & ANR.

..... Petitioners

Through: Mr. Kedar Yadav, Advocate with
petitioners in person.

Versus

STATE OF DELHI & ORS.

..... Respondents

Through: Ms. Nandita Rao, ASC for State
Mr. Rajesh Mishra, Advocate for R-3
along with R-3 and his wife in person

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ KUMAR OHRI

(VIA VIDEO CONFERENCING)

ORDER
14.08.2020

%

1. Learned counsel for respondent No. 3, on instructions from respondent no. 3, who has joined the hearing through Video Conference link, submits that the parents of petitioner no. 1 have no objection to the marriage between the petitioners.
2. Learned counsel for respondent No. 3, on instructions, has offered to organize a social function at the expense of respondent no.3 to celebrate the marriage of the petitioners. The petitioner no.1 has shown her apprehension at this stage.

3. It is directed that as and when the petitioners are agreeable to such social function, the SHO, P.S. Palam Vihar, shall ensure that adequate and necessary protection be provided to the petitioners at such social function in plain clothes.

4. With the above directions, the petition is disposed of.

MANOJ KUMAR OHRI, J

AUGUST 14, 2020

p'ma