

\$~A-4

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 4541/2020 & CM APPLs. 16407-09/2020

SELF FINANCING EDUCATION

INSTITUTIONS

... Petitioner

Through Mr.Sameer Rohatgi, Mr.Namit Suri,
Ms.Soumya Sarin, Ms.Medha Tandon
and Mr.Akshit Pradhan, Advs.

versus

GURU GOBIND SINGH INDRAPRASTHA

UNIVERSITY

... Respondent

Through Ms.Anita Sahani, Adv.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAYANT NATH

ORDER

% **27.07.2020**

This hearing is conducted through video conferencing.

1. This writ petition is filed seeking following reliefs:

“A. Issue a Writ, Order or Direction in the nature of Mandamus or any other appropriate writ, order or direction to the Respondent University to issue a Circular / Notice / Notification in a time bound manner allowing the colleges / institutions located outside Delhi in NCR region affiliated to the Respondent University, to charge the enhanced / revised fee structure for the academic year 2019-20 from the students, having received accreditations forming basis of implementation of fee hike and in terms of the recommendations of 4th State Fee Regulatory Committee notified vide notification dated 02.08.2019 bearing F. no. DHE 18(14) SFRC (4th)/2017-18/3781-91 read with the provisions of Statute 27 of the Guru Gobind Singh Indraprastha University Act 1998; and

B. Issue a Writ, Order or Direction in the nature of Mandamus or any other appropriate writ, order or direction to the Respondent University to allow the colleges / institutions

located outside Delhi in NCR region affiliated to the Respondent University, to charge the enhanced / revised fee structure for the academic year 2019-20 in accordance with the accreditations accorded by JAC in its report after its due inspection, as has been the norm of the Respondent University in the past of granting the fee hike to the colleges / institutions located outside Delhi in NCR region on the basis of JAC accreditations.”

2. At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioners states that it would be in the interest of justice if the respondent/University were to treat this writ petition as a representation and to dispose of the same by an appropriate order.
3. Learned counsel for the respondent/university has no objection to the same.
4. Let the respondent/University treat this present writ petition as a representation on behalf of the petitioners. A hearing may also be given to the petitioners.
5. The representation be disposed of within four weeks from today and appropriate orders be communicated to the petitioners.
6. Nothing further survives in the writ petition. The same is disposed of. All pending applications also disposed of accordingly.

JAYANT NATH, J.

JULY 27, 2020/st