

\$~53

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.M.C. 1869/2019

HAR SARUP BHASIN Petitioner

Through Ms.Urvika Suri, Advocate

versus

M/S ORIGO COMMODITIES INDIA PRIVATE LIMITED.....

Respondent

Through None

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE ANU MALHOTRA

ORDER

% **14.01.2020**

The matter has been taken up for directions.

The petition has been disposed of vide judgment dated 07.01.2020.

There appears however a typographical error in paragraph 8 of the judgment mentioning to the effect – “*Inter alia it has been submitted by the Petitioner that in terms of Section 149 (2) of the Companies Act, 2013*” which has to be read as *inter alia it has been submitted by the Petitioner that in terms of Section 149 (12) of the Companies Act, 2013* as indicated vide observations in paragraph 18 of the said judgment which incorporates the provision of Section 149(12) of the Companies Act, 2013.

Thus, the words Section 149(2) of the Companies Act, 2013 in paragraph 8 of the judgment which is a typographical error is rectified

to Section 149(12) of the Companies Act, 2013 in terms of Section 362 of the Cr.P.C., 1973.

Copy of this order be sent to the Trial Court.

ANU MALHOTRA, J

JANUARY 14, 2020

sg