

\$~8

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(CRL.) 886/2020 & CRL.M.A.7343/2020 & 7344/2020**

PRAMOD @ LANGRA

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr Vishal Raj Sehijpal, Advocate.

versus

STATE

..... Respondent

Through: Mr Rahul Mehra, Standing Counsel
for State with Mr Chaitanya Gosain,
Advocate for State.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIBHU BAKHRU

ORDER

%

09.06.2020

[Hearing held through video conferencing]

1. The petitioner has filed the present petition, *inter alia*, praying that he be granted parole for a period of eight weeks in FIR No.80/2010 registered with PS Saraswati Vihar.
2. By an order dated 20.05.2020, passed in ***W.P.(Crl.) 826/2020*** captioned '***Pramod alias Langra v. State***', this Court had directed the concerned authorities to consider the petitioner's application for parole and communicate its decision within a period of two weeks from that date.
3. The petitioner's application for parole was considered and rejected on the ground that the petitioner had been awarded several punishments and his conduct in the jail has not been satisfactory. The copies of the punishment tickets issued to the petitioner have been placed on record. The last

punishment was imposed on the petitioner on 15.04.2020.

4. In terms of Rule 1210(II) of the Delhi Prison Rules, 2018, a prisoner is not eligible to be released on parole if his conduct in the jail has not been good for the last one year from the date of his application.

5. In view of the above, this Court finds no infirmity with the decision of the competent authority in rejecting the petitioner's application for parole.

6. The petition is, accordingly, dismissed.

VIBHU BAKHRU, J

JUNE 09, 2020

MK