

\$~4

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+

W.P.(C) 3333/2020

DR. ANSHUMAN KUMAR

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Gaurav Kumar Bansal, Adv.

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ANR.

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Anurag Ahluwalia, CGSC with
Mr. Abhigyan Siddhant, Adv. for
UOI.

Mr. Sanjay Jain, ASG with
Mr. Gautam Narayan, ASC and
Ms. Dacchita Shahi, Adv. for R-2 &
3/GNCTD.

CORAM:

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRATEEK JALAN

ORDER

03.06.2020

%

1. Proceedings of the matter have been conducted through video conferencing.
2. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner, after canvassing some arguments, submitted that it would suffice for the disposal of this writ petition, if the same is treated as a representation by respondents No.2 and 3 and decided in accordance with law.
3. Looking to the limited submission of the learned counsel for the petitioner, this petition is directed to be treated as a representation by

respondents No.2 and 3 and the suggestions may be considered in accordance with law, rules, regulations and Government policies applicable to the facts of the case.

4. With these directions, the writ petition is hereby disposed of.

CHIEF JUSTICE

PRATEEK JALAN, J

JUNE 03, 2020

kks