

\$~4

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CONT. CAS(C) 259/2020**

DEEPAK KUMAR

....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Abhijat Bal, Advocate.

versus

RAMAN KUMAR & ORS.

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Rahul Mehra, Standing Counsel
with Mr. Chaitanya Gosain, Advocate
for the for respondent No.1 - SHO,
Raman Kumar, PS Burari and ASI
Ashish.

Mr. M.R. Shamshad, Advocate for
respondent Nos.2 and 3 with
Respondent No.3 Ms. Anju Tyagi in
person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV SACHDEVA

ORDER

%

09.06.2020

1. The hearing was conducted through video conferencing.
2. Affidavit of compliance has been filed by learned counsel appearing for respondent No.3. However, it is contended that the air conditioner was not functional because the cables had been deliberately cut by someone and their apprehension is that it was Mrs. Sudesh Tyagi who had herself cut the cables. This is disputed by learned counsel appearing for the petitioner.
3. However, without getting into the controversy, it is noticed that the air conditioner stands repaired. In view thereof, no further orders are called for in this petition.

4. However, Mr. Ashok Tyagi and his family, Ms. Neelam Tygai, Mr. Deepak Kumar and his family, Ms. Neha Garg and Mrs. Sudesh Tyagi are directed to ensure that peace and order is maintained.

5. Copy of the order be uploaded on the High Court website and be also forwarded to learned counsels through email.

SANJEEV SACHDEVA, J

JUNE 09, 2020
st