

3
\$~3 & 4.

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 3077/2020 & CM APPL. 10699/2020**

M/S CHINA RAILWAY NO.10 ENGINEERING GROUP CO. LTD.

..... Petitioner
Through: Mr. Bhaskar Vali, Adv.

versus

NATIONAL HIGHWAYS AUTHORITY OF INDIA & ANR.

..... Respondent
Through: Mr. Ankur Mittal, Adv. for NHAI.
Mr. Ripudaman Bhardwaj, CGSC
with Mr. Viplav Acharya, Adv.

+ **W.P.(C) 3078/2020 & CM APPL. 10701/2020**

M/S CHINA RAILWAY NO.10 ENGINEERING GROUP CO. LTD.

..... Petitioner
Through: Mr. Bhaskar Vali, Adv.

Versus

NATIONAL HIGHWAYS AUTHORITY OF INDIA & ANR.

..... Respondent
Through: Mr. Ankur Mittal, Adv. for NHAI.
Mr. Ripudaman Bhardwaj, CGSC
with Mr. Viplav Acharya, Adv.

CORAM

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIPIN SANGHI

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJNISH BHATNAGAR

ORDER

%

12.05.2020

Mr. Bhaskar, learned counsel for the petitioner states that he has examined the L-1 Bid provided by learned counsel for the respondent/

NHAI and the bids submitted by the petitioner are higher than the L-1 Bid.

In view of the aforesaid, he wishes to withdraw these petitions.

Dismissed as withdrawn.


VIPIN SANGHI, J
RAJNISH BHATNAGAR, J

MAY 12, 2020

B.S. Rohella