

\$~4

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **BAIL APPLN. 848/2020, CRL.M.A. 6116/2020**

PARDEEP SHARMA Applicant

Through: Mr.J.L.Joel, Adv.

versus

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI Respondent

Through: Mr.Tarang Srivastava, APP

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAVIN CHAWLA

% **ORDER**
05.05.2020

The matter has been heard via video conferencing.

Crl. MA No. 6116/2020 (Exemption)

Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.

BAIL APPLN. 848/2020

1. This application has been filed by the Applicant seeking bail in FIR No.19/2018 dated 12.01.2018 registered under Sections 420/406/120B of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (IPC), at Police Station Kalkaji, New Delhi.
2. It is the case of the applicant that the applicant was not named in the initial FIR. The applicant was arrested on 02.12.2019 and was sent to judicial custody on 07.12.2019. However, nothing incriminating has been found against him, though a Supplementary Charge Sheet has been filed

on 18.01.2020.

3. The learned counsel for the applicant submits that on similar allegations FIR No.0111 dated 14.08.2018, Police Station Kharar, District SAS Nagar under Sections 406 & 420 of the IPC and 24 of Immigration Act, 1983 was also registered against the applicant, wherein, by an order dated 23.03.2020, the learned Judicial Magistrate, First Class, Kharar has ordered release of the applicant on bail, subject to the conditions mentioned in the order.

4. The learned counsel for the applicant further submits that no incriminating evidence has been found against the applicant and the applicant has been in the custody since 02.12.2019. He submits that the investigation against the applicant is complete.

5. I have considered the submissions made by the learned counsel for the applicant. The allegations against the applicant are of that having cheated various persons on the pretext of getting them job at Canada, Malaysia and Dubai. There is also an allegation of the applicant along with other co-accused having forged documents of various persons, which they used to execute rent agreement. As he was not joining investigation, non-bailable warrants had been issued against him. The applicant was apprehended from the IGI Airport while he was leaving for Dubai though The other co-accused, including his brother are yet to be apprehended by the police. The applicant can also not claim parity with the other co-accused, who he claims to have been released on bail, as the allegations against the applicant make him the key accused in the entire transaction. Similarly, the order dated 23.03.2020 passed by the learned Judicial Magistrate, First Class, Kharar, releasing the applicant on bail in FIR no.0111 dated 14.08.2018, also does not persuade me to grant bail to

the applicant at this stage as the investigation seems to be at a crucial juncture.

6. Keeping in view the above facts and circumstances, I find no merit in the present application and the same is dismissed.

NAVIN CHAWLA, J

MAY 05, 2020
RN/sd