

\$~59

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CONT.CAS(C) 234/2020**

ROHINI & ANR

..... Petitioners

Through: Mr Gopal Prasad and Mr Shalya
Agarwal, Advocates.

versus

RAJESH KUMAR

..... Respondent

Through: Mr Alok Kumar Rai and Ms Neetu
Rai, Advocates.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIBHU BAKHRU

ORDER

%

13.03.2020

CM APPL. 9701/2020

1. Exemption is allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. The application stands disposed of.

CONT.CAS(C) 234/2020

3. The petitioner has filed the present petition, *inter alia*, praying that the respondent be punished for violating the order dated 12.02.2020 passed in CrI. Rev.P. No. 896/2019 captioned '*Dr. Rajesh Kumar v. Rohini and Anr.*'. .
4. The aforementioned petition (CrI. Rev. P. No. 896/2019) was filed by the respondent, impugning an order dated 02.07.2019 passed by the learned Family Court, whereby the petitioner was directed to pay monthly maintenance of ₹50,000/-.
5. The above-captioned petition was listed on 12.02.2020 and this Court had clarified that the petitioner would continue to pay the monthly maintenance, as directed by the learned Family Court; however, arrears

could be paid in three equal monthly tranches. The first instalment was directed to be paid on or before 17.02.2020.

6. Apparently, the respondent has not paid the maintenance as directed by learned Family Court.

7. In the aforesaid circumstances, it will be open for the petitioner to institute appropriate proceedings for execution of the said order. It is not apposite for this Court to initiate proceedings under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971.

8. The petition is, accordingly, disposed of with the aforesaid observations.

VIBHU BAKHRU, J

MARCH 13, 2020
RK